

**IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS  
DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN**

<b>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	<b>Case No. 3:23-cr-0028</b>
	)	
<b>FAKILA TEBECK NWANCHAN,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Defendant.</b>	)	
	)	

---

**ORDER**

**BEFORE THE COURT** is Defendant Fakila Tebeck Nwanchan's ("Nwanchan") unopposed Motion to Continue Trial scheduled for August 21, 2023. (ECF No. 24.) For the reasons stated herein, the Court will grant the motion. The time to try this case is extended up to and including January 22, 2024.

This matter commenced on May 26, 2023, when the United States (the "Government") filed a Complaint. On June 23, 2023, the Government filed an Information, charging Nwanchan with illegal entry, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1325(a). (ECF No. 12.) Nwanchan was arraigned on July 5, 2023. On August 11, 2023, Nwanchan filed an unopposed motion to continue jury trial, asserting that its attorney needs to travel to Maryland to meet in person with him to discuss the case and evidence, including his reasons to travel to the United States, which appear to merit asylum. Moreover, English is not Nwanchan's native tongue and communication over the telephone is very difficult. (ECF No. 24.) Nwanchan filed his Waiver of Right to Speedy Trial. (ECF No. 25.)

While the Speedy Trial Act (the "Act") requires that defendants be tried within seventy days of indictment, the Act specifically excludes:

[a]ny period of delay resulting from a continuance granted by any judge on his own motion or at the request of the defendant or his counsel or at the request of the attorney for the Government, if the judge granted such continuance on the basis of his findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

*United States v. Nwanchan*  
Case No. 3:23-cr-0028  
Order  
Page 2 of 3

18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(A).

Consistent with these concerns, the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit has recognized that “whether or not a case is ‘unusual’ or ‘complex,’ an ‘ends of justice’ continuance may in appropriate circumstances be granted.” *United States v. Fields*, 39 F.3d 439, 444 (3d Cir. 1994); *United States v. Dota*, 33 F.3d 1179 (9th Cir. 1994) (“An ends of justice continuance may be justified on grounds that one side needs more time to prepare for trial [even if the] case [i]s not ‘complex.’”); *see also United States v. Lattany*, 982 F.2d 866, 883 (3d Cir. 1992) (“[T]he district court did not abuse its discretion when it delayed the trial to give counsel . . . opportunity to . . . decid[e] upon and prepar[e] an appropriate defense.”); *see United States v. Graves*, 722 F.3d 544 (3d Cir. 2013) (“The Act excludes periods of delay ‘resulting from a continuance granted by a judge,’ as long as the judge has found ‘that the ends of justice’ served by the continuance ‘outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.’”).

The Court finds that the ends of justice served by extending the period in which the trial must begin in this matter outweighs the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Here, an extension of time is necessary to allow Defendant sufficient time to prepare an adequate defense, including to review and discuss evidence in person with his attorney. The premises considered, it is hereby

**ORDERED** that the Defendant’s Motion to Continue Trial, ECF No. 24, is hereby **GRANTED**; it is further

**ORDERED** that the time beginning from the date of this order granting an extension through January 22, 2024, **SHALL** be excluded in computing the time within which the trial in this matter must be initiated pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161; it is further

**ORDERED** that the parties **SHALL** file and serve a pre-trial brief no later than **January 12, 2024**, which shall include the following: (a) proposed list of witnesses; (b) proposed list of exhibits; (c) estimated length of case-in-chief and case-in-defense; (d) proposed non-standard *voir dire* questions; and (e) proposed non-standard jury instructions related to the elements of the charges and defenses; it is further

*United States v. Nwanchan*  
Case No. 3:23-cr-0028  
Order  
Page 3 of 3

**ORDERED** that the parties **SHALL** provide the Clerk of Court with a USB Flash Drive containing electronic versions of exhibits no later than **January 19, 2024**;<sup>1</sup> and it is further

**ORDERED** that the Jury Selection and Trial in this matter previously scheduled for August 21, 2023, are **RESCHEDULED** to commence promptly at 9:00 A.M. on **January 22, 2024**, in St. Thomas Courtroom 1.

**Dated:** August 18, 2023

/s/ Robert A. Molloy  
**ROBERT A. MOLLOY**  
**Chief Judge**

---

<sup>1</sup> Counsel are advised to consult with Court technical staff to determine the proper format for saving electronic versions of exhibits. The Government's trial exhibits shall be labelled sequentially beginning with Government's Exhibit 1. Defense exhibits shall be labelled sequentially beginning with Defense Exhibit A.